



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,327	08/29/2001	Manfred Wilhelm	1003A	9730
7590	10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/942,327	WILHELM ET AL.
	Examiner Marc Jimenez	Art Unit 3726

All Participants:

Status of Application: Patented

(1) David P. Bryant.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Striker (app's rep).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 October 2006

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**DAVID P. BRYANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Bryant informed Mr. Striker that the Petition for Certificate of Correction filed in this application on 9/13/06 was identical to the one filed 9/26/03, which resulted in the issuance of a Certificate of Correction on 3/23/04. No action is thus required on the part of the USPTO concerning the new request.